arXiv:1607.00506v2 [math.AP] 14 Jul 2016

A nonhomogeneous boundary value problem for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation in a quarter plane*

Jing Li† Bing-Yu Zhang‡ and Zhixiong Zhang§

Abstract

We study the initial boundary value problem for one-dimensional Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions. Through the analysis of the boundary integral operator, and applying the known results on the Cauchy problem, we obtain both the local well-posedness and the global well-posedness for the nonhomogeneous initial boundary value problem. It is shown that the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation is well-posed in Sobolev space $C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))\bigcap L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ for $s>-2$.

Key Words: Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, initial boundary value problem, well-posedness.

1 Introduction

More than thirty years ago, Kuramoto and Sivashinsky derived the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation independently by different methods. Sivashinsky [24, 25] obtained an asymptotic nonlinear equation which describes the evolution of a disturbed plane flame front. Kuramoto discussed the turbulent phase waves in [17] and the behavior of a curved wavefront in [18], and got the analogous equation in both cases.

There are many works addressing the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, see [1, 3, 9, 11, 16, 20, 23, 26] for the well-posedness problem, see [10, 12, 13, 15, 21] for the long-time behavior, see [2, 8, 9, 14] for the control problem, and the rich references cited therein.

As mentioned above, the well-posedness problem of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation in one space dimension has been studied extensively. Most of the references on well-posedness problems cited above are addressed to either periodic boundary conditions or homogeneous boundary conditions. B. Nicolaenko and B. Scheurer ([20]) exploited standard energy methods to discuss the initial value problem with periodic boundary conditions. In [26], E. Tadmor proved the existence and stability for the pure Cauchy problem and the periodic problem. A global solution was obtained by patching together short time solutions, which does not require a higher regularity priori estimate as energy methods do. H. A. Biagioni and T. Gramchev ([3])

*This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11301425, No. 11571244 and No. 11231007) and the State Scholarship Fund (No. 201306985014) from China Scholarship Council.

†School of Economics and Mathematics, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu 611130, China.*E-mail:* jingli@swufe.edu.cn.

‡Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, 45221, USA. *E-mail:* bzhang@math.uc.edu.

§School of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China. *E-mail:* zxzhang@amss.ac.cn.

discussed the initial value problem problem for multidimensional Kuramoto-Sivashinsky type equations on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$. The initial data could belong to H_p^r with r negative. [3] also obtained global well-posedness in L^2 when the nonlinearities are conservative. In [11], A. T. Cousin and N. A. Larkin considered the initial boundary value problem with homogeneous boundary values in a non-cylindrical domain. Using the Faedo-Galerkin method, they proved the existence and uniqueness of global weak, strong and smooth solutions. In [16], E. I. Kaikina studied the global existence and large-time behavior of solutions to the homogeneous boundary value problem for subcritical Kuramoto-Sivashinsky-type equation on a half-line with initial data in $L^\infty \cap L^{1,2}$ being sufficiently small, where $L^{1,2}$ is some weighted Lebesgue space. D. Pilod ([23]) was interested in the Cauchy problem for the dispersive Kuramoto-Velarde equation with initial datum in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ $(s > -1)$. In Section 2 of [9], because of the control framework they considered, E. Cerpa and A. Mercado got the well-posedness results for the boundary data $u(0,t), u_x(0,t) \in L^2(0,T)$, $u(1,t) = u_x(1,t) = 0$, and initial datum $u(x,0) \in H^{-2}(0,1)$.

In this paper, we study the nonhomogeneous boundary value problem for the following dispersive Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (sometimes, we call it Korteweg-de Vries-Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation) in a quarter plane:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxxx} + \delta u_{xxx} + u_{xx} + uu_x = 0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = \phi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u(0,t) = h_1(t), \quad u_x(0,t) = h_2(t), & t \in (0,T), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $T > 0$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. For initial datum $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)$, one may wonder what is the lowest regularity of the boundary conditions to guarantee solution $u \in C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))$. One may also be interested in what is the smallest value of s that guarantee the well-possedness of equation (1.1). Theorem 1.1 (see below) gives answers to these two questions in some sense. The optimal regularity for the boundary conditions are $(h_1, h_2) \in H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T) \times H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(0,T)$. It is shown that when $s > -2$, the solution exists for an arbitrary long time. In [23], D. Pilod proved that the Kuramoto-Velarde equation

$$\partial_t w + \delta \partial_x^3 w + \mu(\partial_x^4 w + \partial_x^2 w) = \alpha(\partial_x w)^2 + \gamma w \partial_x^2 w$$

was globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > -1$ and this result was sharp in the sense that the flow map of the equation fails to be C^2 in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ when $s < -1$. Taking $\mu = 1$, $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma = 0$, and setting $u = w_t$, one can obtain the sharp well-posedness result for $s > -2$ concerning the Cauchy problem:

$$u_t + u_{xxxx} + \delta u_{xxx} + u_{xx} + uu_x = 0.$$

We guess that $s > -2$ is also sharp in our nonhomogeneous boundary value problem case. In order to prove the sharpness, one need to construct a counterexample which implies that the wellposedness will fail when $s < -2$. This has not been done yet in this paper.

Our main task in this paper is to yield the well-posedness result for $-2 < s < 0$. Also, we obtain the well-posedness result for $s \geq 0$. These results are presented in a unified way in Theorem 1.1 below. When $s > \frac{1}{2}$, one need the following definition of s-compatibility conditions.

Definition 1.1 *Let $T > 0$ and $s \geq 0$ be given. $(\phi, h_1, h_2) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^+) \times H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T) \times H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(0,T)$ is said to be s-compatible if*

i) When $s-4[\frac{s}{4}]\leq\frac{1}{2}$, *then*

$$\phi_k(0)=h_1^{(k)}(0),\quad \phi_k'(0)=h_2^{(k)}(0),\qquad k=0,1,\cdots,[\tfrac{s}{4}]-1.$$

ii) When $\frac{1}{2}<s-4[\frac{s}{4}]\leq\frac{3}{2}$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi_k(0)=h_1^{(k)}(0),\quad \phi_k'(0)=h_2^{(k)}(0),\qquad k=0,1,\cdots,[\tfrac{s}{4}]-1;\\&\phi_k(0)=h_1^{(k)}(0),\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad k=[\tfrac{s}{4}].\end{aligned}$$

iii) When $s-4[\frac{s}{4}]>\frac{3}{2}$, *then*

$$\phi_k(0)=h_1^{(k)}(0),\quad \phi_k'(0)=h_2^{(k)}(0),\qquad k=0,1,\cdots,[\tfrac{s}{4}].$$

Here,

$$\begin{cases}\phi_0(x)=\phi(x),\\ \phi_k(x)=-\phi_{k-1}''''(x)-\delta\phi_{k-1}'''(x)-\phi_{k-1}''(x)-\displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}C_{k-1}^j\phi_j(x)\phi_{k-j-1}'(x),\quad k=1,2,\cdots\end{cases}$$

Recall that $C_{k-1}^j=\frac{(k-1)!}{j!(k-j-1)!}$ *and* $[\cdot]$ *is the floor function.*

Our main result in this article is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 *Let* $T>0$ *and* $\varepsilon>0$.

i) If $s\geq 0$ *and* $(\phi,h_1,h_2)\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)\times H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)\times H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(0,T)$ *is s-compatible, then equation (1.1) admits a unique solution* $u\in C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))\cap L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ *with* $u_x\in C([0,+\infty);H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(0,T))$. *Moreover, the corresponding solution map from the space of initial and boundary data to the solution space is continuous.*

ii) If $-2<s<0$, $\phi\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $(h_1,h_2)\in H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)\times H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(0,T)$ *and* $(t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}h_1,t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}h_2)\in H^{\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)\times H^{\frac{1}{8}}(0,T)$, *then equation (1.1) admits a unique solution* $u\in C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))$. *Moreover, the corresponding solution map from the space of initial and boundary data to the solution space is continuous.*

To prove the above theorem, firstly, by the method developed in [5, 6, 7] which is addressed to the Korteweg-de Vries equation, we discuss the nonhomogeneous boundary value problem of the associated linear equation. The key technical part is to transfer the homogeneous initial boundary value problem to the Cauchy problem, through a careful study of the boundary integral operator $W_{bdr}(t)$ (see "Proof of estimate (3.8)"). Here, $v=W_{bdr}(t)(h_1(t),h_2(t))$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases}v_t+v_{xxxx}+\delta v_{xxx}=0, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times(0,T),\\ v(x,0)=0, & x\in\mathbb{R}^+,\\ v(0,t)=h_1(t),\quad v_x(0,t)=h_2(t), & t\in(0,T).\end{cases}$$

And v can be explicitly expressed by the Laplace transform (see Remark 3.1).

Secondly, thanks to the bilinear estimates and the fixed point theory, we prove the existence of a local solution for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (1.1).

Finally, combining the local well-posedness results and a priori estimate, we can patch local solutions together to a global solution. Hence, the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (1.1) is globally well-posed.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider the smoothing properties of the linear Cauchy problem. Section 3 is devoted to discussing the nonhomogeneous boundary value problem of the associated linear equation. Then we consider the well-posedness of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (1.1) for $s \geq 0$ and $-2 < s < 0$ in Section 4 and Section 5, respectively.

2 The linear problem in a whole line

In this section, we consider the smoothing properties of the linear Cauchy problem.

2.1 Results on the Cauchy problem

Proposition 2.1 *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$ and $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Then equation*

$$\begin{cases} p_t + p_{xxxx} + \delta p_{xxx} = 0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0,T), \\ p(x,0) = \phi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

admits a unique solution $p \in C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^2(0,T; H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))$ with $\partial_x^k p \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T))$ $(k = 0, 1)$. Moreover, there exist a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, it holds

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|p(\cdot,t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}, \qquad \|p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \|\partial_x^k p(x,\cdot)\|_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{2.3}$$

Furthermore, if $s < 0$ and $0 < T \leq 1$, it holds

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} p(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}, \qquad \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} \partial_x^k p(x,\cdot)\|_{H^{-\frac{k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proposition 2.2 *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$ and $f(x,t) \in L^1(0,T; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$. Then equation*

$$\begin{cases} p_t + p_{xxxx} + \delta p_{xxx} = f(x,t), & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0,T), \\ p(x,0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

admits a unique solution $p \in C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^2(0,T; H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))$ with $\partial_x^k p \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T))$ $(k = 0, 1)$. Moreover, there exist a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f(x,t) \in L^1(0,T; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$, it holds

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|p(\cdot,t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))}, \quad \|p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))}, \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\|\partial_x^k p(x,\cdot)\|_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)}\le C\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))}. \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore, if $s<0$, $0<T\le 1$ *and* $t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}f(x,t)\in L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))$, *it holds*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}p(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}+\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))}\le C\left(\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))}+\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}f\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))}\right)$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}\partial_x^k p(x,\cdot)\|_{H^{-\frac{k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)}\le C\left(\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))}+\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}f\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

The proof of Proposition 2.1 - Proposition 2.2 will be given in Subsection 2.2 - Subsection 2.3, respectively.

2.2 Proof of Proposition 2.1

To prove Proposition 2.1, we need the following lemma, which follows from minor modifications of Lemma 2.5 in [6].

Lemma 2.1 *Let* $\gamma(\rho)$ *be a continuous complex-valued function defined on* $(0,+\infty)$ *satisfying the following two conditions:*

i) There exist $\delta>0$ *and* $b>0$ *such that* $\sup\limits_{0<\rho<\delta}\dfrac{|Re\gamma(\rho)|}{\rho}\ge b$;

ii) There exists a complex number $\alpha+i\beta$ *such that* $\lim\limits_{\rho\to+\infty}\dfrac{|\gamma(\rho)|}{\rho}=\alpha+i\beta$.

Then there exists a constant $C>0$ *such that for all* $f\in L^2(0,+\infty)$,

$$\left\|\int_0^{+\infty}e^{\gamma(\rho)t}f(\rho)d\rho\right\|_{L^2(0,T)}\le C\left(\|e^{TRe\gamma(\cdot)}f(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|f(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right).$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. The proof is divided into several steps.

Step 1. To prove estimate (2.3), we only need to show that for any $r\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\|\partial_x^k p(x,\cdot)\|_{H^r(0,T)}\le C\|\phi\|_{H^{4r+k-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{2.10}$$

Firstly, we claim that estimate (2.10) holds for any non-negative integer r. In fact, the solution of equation (2.1) can be expressed as follows

$$p(x,t)=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{ix\xi}e^{(i\delta\xi^3-\xi^4)t}\widehat{\phi}(\xi)d\xi, \tag{2.11}$$

where "$\widehat{\ }$" denotes the Fourier transform with respect to x, i.e.

$$\widehat{\phi}(\xi)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{-ix\xi}\phi(x)dx.$$

Formula (2.11) gives that for $k=0,1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x^k p(x,t)&=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}i^k\xi^k e^{ix\xi}e^{(i\delta\xi^3-\xi^4)t}\widehat{\phi}(\xi)d\xi+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{0}i^k\xi^k e^{ix\xi}e^{(i\delta\xi^3-\xi^4)t}\widehat{\phi}(\xi)d\xi\\&:=\partial_x^k p^+(x,t)+\partial_x^k p^-(x,t).\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Setting $\zeta = \xi^4$ in (2.12), one has

$$\partial_x^k p^+(x,t) = \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} i^k \sqrt[4]{\zeta^{k-3}} e^{ix\sqrt[4]{\zeta}} e^{(i\delta\sqrt[4]{\zeta^3}-\zeta)t}\widehat{\phi}(\sqrt[4]{\zeta})d\zeta, \tag{2.13}$$

which yields that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^k p^+(x,\cdot)\|^2_{H^r(0,T)} = \sum_{m=0}^{r} \|\partial_t^m \partial_x^k p(x,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(0,T)} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{r} \left\| \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} i^k (i\delta\sqrt[4]{\zeta^3}-\zeta)^m \sqrt[4]{\zeta^{k-3}} e^{ix\sqrt[4]{\zeta}} e^{(i\delta\sqrt[4]{\zeta^3}-\zeta)t}\widehat{\phi}(\sqrt[4]{\zeta})d\zeta \right\|^2_{L^2(0,T)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

It follows from (2.14) and Lemma 2.1 that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^k p^+(x,\cdot)\|^2_{H^r(0,T)} \\
&\le C\sum_{m=0}^{r}\int_0^{+\infty} \left(e^{-\zeta T}+1\right)^2 \left| i^k (i\delta\sqrt[4]{\zeta^3}-\zeta)^m \sqrt[4]{\zeta^{k-3}} e^{ix\sqrt[4]{\zeta}}\widehat{\phi}(\sqrt[4]{\zeta})\right|^2 d\zeta \\
&\le C\sum_{m=0}^{r}\int_0^{+\infty} (\delta^{2m}\sqrt{\zeta^{3m}}+\zeta^{2m})\sqrt{\zeta^{k-3}} \left|\widehat{\phi}(\sqrt[4]{\zeta})\right|^2 d\zeta.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Setting $\zeta = \xi^4$ in (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \|\partial_x^k p^+(x,\cdot)\|^2_{H^r(0,T)} &\le C\sum_{m=0}^{r}\int_0^{+\infty} (\delta^{2m}\xi^{6m}+\xi^{8m})\xi^{2k-3}\left|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^2 d\xi \\
&\le C\int_0^{+\infty} (1+\xi^2)^{4r+k-\frac{3}{2}}\left|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^2 d\xi.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \|\partial_x^k p^-(x,\cdot)\|^2_{H^r(0,T)} \le C\int_{-\infty}^{0} (1+\xi^2)^{4r+k-\frac{3}{2}}\left|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^2 d\xi. \tag{2.17}$$

Combining (2.12), (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain the desired estimate (2.10) for any non-negative integer r.

Secondly, we claim that estimate (2.10) holds for any negative integer r. Indeed, for any $\varphi(t) \in H_0^{-r}(0,T)$, integrating by parts, we deduce from (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \partial_x^k p^+(x,t), \varphi(t)\rangle_{H^r(0,T)\times H_0^{-r}(0,T)} \\
&= \int_0^T \left(\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} i^k \sqrt[4]{\zeta^{k-3}} e^{ix\sqrt[4]{\zeta}} e^{(i\delta\sqrt[4]{\zeta^3}-\zeta)t}\widehat{\phi}(\sqrt[4]{\zeta})d\zeta\right)\varphi(t)dt \\
&= \int_0^T (-1)^r \left(\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} i^k (i\delta\sqrt[4]{\zeta^3}-\zeta)^r \sqrt[4]{\zeta^{k-3}} e^{ix\sqrt[4]{\zeta}} e^{(i\delta\sqrt[4]{\zeta^3}-\zeta)t}\widehat{\phi}(\sqrt[4]{\zeta})d\zeta\right)\varphi^{(-r)}(t)dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|\partial_x^k p^+(x,\cdot)\|^2_{H^r(0,T)} \le \left\| \frac{1}{8\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} i^k (i\delta\sqrt[4]{\zeta^3}-\zeta)^r \sqrt[4]{\zeta^{k-3}} e^{ix\sqrt[4]{\zeta}} e^{(i\delta\sqrt[4]{\zeta^3}-\zeta)t}\widehat{\phi}(\sqrt[4]{\zeta})d\zeta \right\|^2_{L^2(0,T)}.$$

Similar to the proof from (2.15) to (2.17), we can easily get that estimate (2.10) holds for any negative integer r.

Finally, for non-integer values of s, estimate (2.10) can be obtained by standard interpolation theory.

Step 2. We claim that (2.2) holds. In fact, it follows from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|p(\cdot,t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}=\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(1+\xi^2)^s\left|e^{(i\delta\xi^3-\xi^4)t}\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^2d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\leq\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|e^{-\xi^4t}\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}\leq\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|p\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))}=\int_0^T\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(1+\xi^2)^{s+2}\left|e^{(i\delta\xi^3-\xi^4)t}\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^2d\xi dt\\
&=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\int_0^T(1+\xi^2)^2e^{-2\xi^4t}dt\right)(1+\xi^2)^s\left|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^2d\xi\\
&\leq\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\int_0^T\left(2+2\xi^4e^{-2\xi^4t}\right)dt\right)(1+\xi^2)^s\left|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^2d\xi\\
&\leq C\|\phi\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. We claim that (2.4) and (2.5) are true. Firstly, when $t\in[0,T]\subset[0,1]$, it holds $t^{\frac{|s|}{2}}\leq(1+t^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi^2)^{|s|}(1+\xi^2)^{-|s|}$. Then by (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}p(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}=\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}t^{\frac{|s|}{2}}\left|e^{(i\delta\xi^3-\xi^4)t}\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^2d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\leq\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(1+t^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi^2)^{|s|}e^{-2\xi^4t}(1+\xi^2)^s\left|\widehat{\phi}(\xi)\right|^2d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\leq 2^{|s|-1}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left(\left\|e^{-\xi^4t}\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}+\left\|(t\xi^4)^{\frac{|s|}{4}}e^{-\xi^4t}\right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}\\
&\leq 2^{|s|-1}\left(1+\frac{|s|}{4}^{\frac{|s|}{4}}e^{\frac{s}{4}}\right)\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}\leq 2^{|s|}\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly, by (2.1), $q_1:=tp$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\partial_tq_1+\partial_x^4q_1+\delta\partial_x^3q_1=p, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}\times(0,T),\\ q_1(x,0)=0, & x\in\mathbb{R},\end{cases}\tag{2.18}$$

where $p\in L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus, maximal regularity property implies that the solution of equation (2.18) satisfies

$$\|tp\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+6}(\mathbb{R}))}=\|q_1\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+6}(\mathbb{R}))}\leq C\|p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))}.\tag{2.19}$$

Similarly, by (2.1), $q_2:=t^2p$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}\partial_tq_2+\partial_x^4q_2+\delta\partial_x^3q_2=2tp, & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}\times(0,T),\\ q_2(x,0)=0, & x\in\mathbb{R},\end{cases}\tag{2.20}$$

where $tp \in L^2(0,T;H^{s+6}(\mathbb{R}))$. Thus, maximal regularity property and (2.19) imply that the solution of equation (2.20) satisfies

$$\|t^2 p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+10}(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C\|p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))}.$$

Iterating the argument, we have that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\|t^k p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2+4k}(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C\|p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))}. \tag{2.21}$$

The Young's inequality implies that for any $s < 0$, $t \in [0,T]$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and for some fixed $k > \frac{|s|}{4}$,

$$(1+\xi^2)^2 t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} = (1+\xi^2)^{\frac{(s+2)(s+4k)}{4k}} \cdot (1+\xi^2)^{\frac{|s|(s+2+4k)}{4k}} t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} \leq \frac{s+4k}{4k}(1+\xi^2)^{s+2} + \frac{|s|}{4k}(1+\xi^2)^{s+2+4k} t^{2k}.$$

Therefore, combing (2.2) and (2.21), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} p\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} = \int_0^T \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+\xi^2)^2 t^{\frac{|s|}{2}} \left|\widehat{p}(\xi,t)\right|^2 d\xi \right) dt \\
&\leq \frac{s+4k}{4k} \int_0^T \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+\xi^2)^{s+2} \left|\widehat{p}(\xi,t)\right|^2 d\xi \right) dt \\
&\quad + \frac{|s|}{4k} \int_0^T \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+\xi^2)^{s+2+4k} t^{2k} \left|\widehat{p}(\xi,t)\right|^2 d\xi \right) dt \\
&\leq \|p\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}))} + \left\| t^k p \right\|^2_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2+4k}(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C\|\phi\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (2.4), $t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} p \in C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))$. Trace Theorem shows that (2.5) holds.

This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1. □

Remark 2.1 *Let $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ be a linear operator defined as follows*

$$Aw = -w_{xxxx}, \qquad \mathcal{D}(A) = H^4(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap H_0^2(\mathbb{R}^+).$$

Then A is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. With the perturbation of a lower order operator, $\mathcal{A}w := Aw - \delta w_{xxx}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup $W_c(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}} : H^4(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be a linear operator defined as follows

$$\bar{A}w = -w_{xxxx} - \delta w_{xxx}.$$

Then $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0 semigroup $W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the solution of equation (2.1) with initial datum ϕ can be expressed by

$$W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)\phi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ix\xi} e^{(i\delta\xi^3 - \xi^4)t} \widehat{\phi}(\xi) d\xi. \tag{2.22}$$

2.3 Proof of Proposition 2.2

The proof is divided into several steps.

Step 1. We claim that (2.8) is true. Indeed, by semigroup theory ([22]), the solution of equation (2.6) can be formally written as follows

$$p(x,t)=\int_0^t W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau)d\tau=\int_0^T \chi(\tau)W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau)d\tau, \tag{2.23}$$

where

$$\chi(\tau)=\begin{cases} 1, & \tau\le t,\\ 0, & \tau>t.\end{cases}$$

Thus, it follows from (2.23) that for $k=0,1$,

$$\partial_x^k p(x,t)=\int_0^T \chi(\tau)\partial_x^k(W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau))d\tau. \tag{2.24}$$

Hence, by (2.24) and the Minkowski's inequality, we see that for any $x\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^k p(x,\cdot)\|_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)}=\left\|\int_0^T \chi(\tau)\partial_x^k(W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau))d\tau\right\|_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)}\\
&\le\int_0^T\left\|\chi(\tau)\partial_x^k(W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau))\right\|_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)}d\tau\\
&=\int_0^T\left\|\partial_x^k(W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau))\right\|_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\tau,T)}d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Proposition 2.1 and (2.25) show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\|\partial_x^k p(x,\cdot)\|_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)}\le\int_0^T\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\left\|\partial_x^k(W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau))\right\|_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\tau,T)}d\tau\\
&\le C\int_0^T\|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}d\tau=C\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. We claim that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}p(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\le 2^{\frac{5|s|}{4}-1}\left(\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))}+\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}f\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))}\right). \tag{2.26}$$

Indeed, by (2.23) and the Minkowski's inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}p(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\le\sup_{t\in[0,T]}t^{\frac{|s|}{4}}\int_0^T\|\chi(\tau)W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\,d\tau\\
&\le 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1}\int_0^T\sup_{t\in[0,T]}(t-\tau)^{\frac{|s|}{4}}\|\chi(\tau)W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\,d\tau\\
&\quad+2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_0^T\tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}}\|\chi(\tau)W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\,d\tau\\
&\le 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1}\int_0^T\sup_{t\in[\tau,T]}(t-\tau)^{\frac{|s|}{4}}\|W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau)f(x,\tau)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\,d\tau\\
&\quad+2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\int_0^T\tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|e^{(i\delta\xi^3-\xi^4)(t-\tau)}\widehat{f}(\xi,\tau)\right|^2d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Then (2.27) and Proposition 2.1 show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} p(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\le 2^{\frac{5|s|}{4}-1} \int_0^T \|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}\, d\tau + 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1} \int_0^T \tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \widehat{f}(\xi,\tau) \right|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\tau \\
&\le 2^{\frac{5|s|}{4}-1} \left(\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))} + \|\tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} f\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired estimate (2.26).

Step 3. We claim that

$$\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} \le C 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}} \left(\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} f\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))} \right). \tag{2.28}$$

Indeed, by (2.23) and Minkowski's inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} \le \int_0^T \left\| t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} \chi(\tau) W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau) f(x,\tau) \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} d\tau \\
&\le 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1} \int_0^T \left\| (t-\tau)^{\frac{|s|}{4}} \chi(\tau) W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau) f(x,\tau) \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} d\tau \\
&\quad + 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1} \int_0^T \left\| \tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} \chi(\tau) W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau) f(x,\tau) \right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} d\tau \qquad (2.29) \\
&\le 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1} \int_0^T \left\| (t-\tau)^{\frac{|s|}{4}} W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau) f(x,\tau) \right\|_{L^2(\tau,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} d\tau \\
&\quad + 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1} \int_0^T \left\| \tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau) f(x,\tau) \right\|_{L^2(\tau,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} W_{\mathbb{R}}(t-\tau) f(x,\tau) \right\|^2_{L^2(\tau,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} \\
&= \int_\tau^T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1+\xi^2)^2 \left| e^{(i\delta\xi^3 - \xi^4)(t-\tau)} \tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} \widehat{f}(\xi,\tau) \right|^2 d\xi dt \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\int_\tau^T (1+\xi^2)^2 e^{-2\xi^4(t-\tau)} dt \right) \left| \tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} \widehat{f}(\xi,\tau) \right|^2 d\xi \qquad (2.30) \\
&\le \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\int_\tau^T \left(2 + 2\xi^4 e^{-2\xi^4(t-\tau)} \right) dt \right) \left| \tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} \widehat{f}(\xi,\tau) \right|^2 d\xi \\
&\le (2T+1) \left\| \tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} f(\cdot,\tau) \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.29), (2.30) and Proposition 2.1, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}} p\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}))} \\
&\le 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1} C \int_0^T \|f(\cdot,\tau)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}\, d\tau + 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1} (2T+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^T \left\| \tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} f(\cdot,\tau) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} d\tau \\
&\le 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1} C \|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}))} + 2^{\frac{|s|}{4}-1} (2T+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tau^{\frac{|s|}{4}} f\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}))},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired estimate (2.28). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.2. □

3 The linear problem on a half line

In this section, we consider the smoothing properties of the associated linear equation.

3.1 Results on the linear problem

To state our results clearly and concisely, we introduce the following notations. For any given $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} &\vec{h}(t) = (h_1(t),\ h_2(t)), \qquad \mathcal{H}^s(a,b) = H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(a,b) \times H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(a,b), \\ &\|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(a,b)} = \|h_1\|_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(a,b)} + \|h_2\|_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(a,b)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$ and small $\varepsilon > 0$, put

$$\begin{aligned} X_{s,T} = &\Big\{ w \in C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+)) \ \big| \\ &\qquad \partial_x^k w \in C([0,+\infty); H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)),\ k = 0,1 \Big\}, \\ \|w\|_{X_{s,T}} = &\|w\|_{C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|w\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))} \\ &+ \|w\|_{C([0,+\infty);H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T))} + \|w_x\|_{C([0,+\infty);H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(0,T))}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T} = &\Big\{ w \in C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+)) \ \big|\ \|w\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T}} < +\infty \Big\}, \\ \|w\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T}} = &\|w\|_{C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|w\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))} \\ &+ \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon} w\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon} w\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Our results are as follows.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $-2 \le s \le 0$, $T > 0$ and $\vec{h} \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then equation*

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v_{xxxx} + \delta v_{xxx} = 0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ v(x,0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ v(0,t) = h_1(t), \quad v_x(0,t) = h_2(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

admits a unique solution $v \in C([0,+\infty); H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ with $\partial_x^k v \in C([0,+\infty); H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ $(k = 0,1)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $\vec{h} \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^+)$, it holds

$$\|v\|_{X_{s,T}} \le C \|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Furthermore, if $-2 < s < 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}\vec{h} \in \mathcal{H}^0(0,T)$, it holds

$$\|v\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T}} \le C \left(\|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(0,T)} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)} \right). \tag{3.6}$$

Proposition 3.2 *Let $-2 \le s \le 0$, $T > 0$ and $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Then equation*

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v_{xxxx} + \delta v_{xxx} = 0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0,T), \\ v(x,0) = \phi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ v(0,t) = 0, \quad v_x(0,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

admits a unique solution $v \in C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ *with* $\partial_x^k v \in C([0,+\infty);H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T))$ $(k=0,1)$*. Moreover, there exist a constant* $C>0$ *such that for any* $\phi \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$*, it holds*

$$\|v\|_{X_{s,T}} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Furthermore, if $-2<s<0$*,* $0<T\leq 1$ *and* $\varepsilon>0$*, it holds*

$$\|v\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proposition 3.3 *Let* $-2\leq s\leq 0$*,* $T>0$ *and* $f\in L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))$*. Then equation*

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v_{xxxx} + \delta v_{xxx} = f(x,t), & (x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times(0,T), \\ v(x,0)=0, & x\in\mathbb{R}^+, \\ v(0,t)=0, \quad v_x(0,t)=0, & t\in(0,T), \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

admits a unique solution $v \in C([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ *with* $\partial_x^k v \in C([0,+\infty);H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(0,T))$ $(k=0,1)$*. Moreover, there exist a constant* $C>0$ *such that for any* $f\in L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))$*, it holds*

$$\|v\|_{X_{s,T}} \leq C\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))}. \tag{3.11}$$

Furthermore, if $-2<s<0$*,* $0<T\leq 1$*,* $\varepsilon>0$ *and* $t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}f\in L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$*, it holds*

$$\|v\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}} \leq C\left(\|f\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}f\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\right). \tag{3.12}$$

We will prove estimates (3.5), (3.8) and (3.11) in Subsection 3.2. Estimates (3.6) will be proven in Subsection 3.3. We omit the proof of (3.9) and (3.12) since they are similar to the proof of (3.8) and Proposition 2.2, respectively.

3.2 Proof of estimates (3.5), (3.8) and (3.11)

We recall the following lemma, which follows from minor modifications of Lemma 3.1 in [5].

Lemma 3.1 *Let* $\gamma(\rho)$ *be a continuous complex-valued function defined on* $(0,+\infty)$ *satisfying the following three conditions:*

i) $Re\gamma(\rho)<0$*, for* $\rho>0$*;*

ii) There exist $\delta>0$ *and* $b>0$ *such that* $\sup\limits_{0<\rho<\delta}\dfrac{|Re\gamma(\rho)|}{\rho}\geq b$*;*

iii) There exists a complex number $\alpha+i\beta$ *with* $\alpha<0$ *such that* $\lim\limits_{\rho\to+\infty}\dfrac{|\gamma(\rho)|}{\rho}=\alpha+i\beta$*.*

Then there exists a constant $C>0$ *such that for all* $f\in L^2(0,+\infty)$*,*

$$\left\|\int_0^{+\infty} e^{\gamma(\rho)x}f(\rho)d\rho\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C\|f(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}.$$

Proof of estimate (3.5). We divide the proof into four steps. In Step 1, an explicit solution formula of equation (3.4) is given. Then with the help of this solution formula, estimate (3.5) is proved for $-2 \le s \le 0$ in Step 2 - Step 4.

Step 1. Applying the Laplace transform with respect to t in equation (3.4), we see that for any τ with $\mathrm{Re}\tau > 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \tau\widetilde{v} + \widetilde{v}_{xxxx} + \delta\widetilde{v}_{xxx} = 0, & (x,\tau) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \widetilde{v}(0,\tau) = \widetilde{h}_1(\tau), \quad \widetilde{v}_x(0,\tau) = \widetilde{h}_2(\tau), & \tau \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\widetilde{v}(x,\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\tau t} v(x,t)dt, \qquad \widetilde{h}_j(\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\tau t} h_j(t)dt, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

The solution of equation (3.13) can be expressed as follows

$$\widetilde{v}(x,\tau) = c_1(\tau)e^{\lambda_1(\tau)x} + c_2(\tau)e^{\lambda_2(\tau)x}. \tag{3.14}$$

Here, $\lambda_1(\tau)$ and $\lambda_2(\tau)$ are the solutions of the characteristic equation

$$\lambda^4 + \delta\lambda^3 + \tau = 0 \tag{3.15}$$

with negative real parts, and $c_1 = c_1(\tau)$, $c_2 = c_2(\tau)$ are the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} c_1 + c_2 = \widetilde{h}_1(\tau), \\ c_1\lambda_1(\tau) + c_2\lambda_2(\tau) = \widetilde{h}_2(\tau). \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.14)-(3.16), for any fixed $r > 0$, the solution of (3.4) can be represented in the form

$$\begin{aligned} v(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{r-i\infty}^{r+i\infty} e^{\tau t}\widetilde{v}(x,\tau)d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{r-i\infty}^{r+i\infty} e^{\tau t}\left(\frac{\lambda_2\widetilde{h}_1(\tau) - \widetilde{h}_2(\tau)}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}e^{\lambda_1 x} + \frac{\widetilde{h}_2(\tau) - \lambda_1\widetilde{h}_1(\tau)}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}e^{\lambda_2 x}\right)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Since the right-hand side of (3.17) is continuous with respect to r and the left-hand side does not depend on r, we can take $r = 0$ in (3.17). Setting $\tau = i8\rho^4$ with $0 \le \rho < +\infty$ in (3.15), then the two solutions of characteristic equation (3.15) with $\delta = 0$ and with negative real parts are as follows

$$-\rho\sqrt{\sqrt{2}+1} + i\rho\sqrt{\sqrt{2}-1}, \quad -\rho\sqrt{\sqrt{2}-1} - i\rho\sqrt{\sqrt{2}+1}.$$

Thus, as $\rho \to +\infty$, we have the asymptotic expression of the two solutions of characteristic equation (3.15) with negative real parts:

$$\lambda_1^+(\rho) \sim -\rho\sqrt{\sqrt{2}+1} + i\rho\sqrt{\sqrt{2}-1}, \quad \lambda_2^+(\rho) \sim -\rho\sqrt{\sqrt{2}-1} - i\rho\sqrt{\sqrt{2}+1}. \tag{3.18}$$

By (3.17) and (3.18), it is easy to check that

$$v(x,t) = v^+(x,t) + \overline{v^+(x,t)}, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\overline{v^+(x,t)}$ is the conjugation of $v^+(x,t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} v^+(x,t) = &\frac{16}{\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{i8\rho^4 t}\left(e^{\lambda_1^+ x}\frac{\lambda_2^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_1(i8\rho^4) - e^{\lambda_2^+ x}\frac{\lambda_1^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_1(i8\rho^4)\right)\rho^3 d\rho \\ &+\frac{16}{\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{i8\rho^4 t}\left(-e^{\lambda_1^+ x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_2(i8\rho^4) + e^{\lambda_2^+ x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_2(i8\rho^4)\right)\rho^3 d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Step 2. We claim that for $-2 \le s \le 0$, it holds

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|v(\cdot,t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le C\left(\|h_1\|_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|h_2\|_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right). \tag{3.21}$$

It suffices to prove that estimate (3.21) is true for $s = 0, -1, -2$. For non-integer negative values of s, estimate (3.21) can be obtained by standard interpolation theory.

Now we consider the case $s = 0, -1, -2$. For any $\varphi(x) \in H_0^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, we integrate by parts and deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\lambda_j^+(\rho)x}F(\rho,t)d\rho, \varphi(x)\right\rangle_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)\times H_0^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty}\left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{\lambda_j^+(\rho)x}F(\rho,t)d\rho\right)\varphi(x)dx \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty}(-1)^s\left(\int_0^{+\infty}\left(\lambda_j^+(\rho)\right)^s e^{\lambda_j^+(\rho)x}F(\rho,t)d\rho\right)\varphi^{(-s)}(x)dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\left\|\int_0^{+\infty} e^{\lambda_j^+(\rho)x}F(\rho,t)d\rho\right\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le \left\|\int_0^{+\infty}\left(\lambda_j^+(\rho)\right)^s e^{\lambda_j^+(\rho)x}F(\rho,t)d\rho\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}. \tag{3.22}$$

From (3.20) and (3.22), we see that for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v^+(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le\ & C\left\|\int_0^{+\infty}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^s e^{i8\rho^4 t}e^{\lambda_1^+ x}\frac{\lambda_2^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_1(i8\rho^4)\rho^3 d\rho\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\ &+C\left\|\int_0^{+\infty} -\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^s e^{i8\rho^4 t}e^{\lambda_2^+ x}\frac{\lambda_1^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_1(i8\rho^4)\rho^3 d\rho\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\ &+C\left\|\int_0^{+\infty} -\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^s e^{i8\rho^4 t}e^{\lambda_1^+ x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_2(i8\rho^4)\rho^3 d\rho\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\ &+C\left\|\int_0^{+\infty}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^s e^{i8\rho^4 t}e^{\lambda_2^+ x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_2(i8\rho^4)\rho^3 d\rho\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

It follows from (3.23) and Lemma 3.1 that for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v^+(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\ &\le C\int_0^{+\infty}\left|\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^s\frac{\lambda_2^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_1(i8\rho^4)\rho^3\right|^2 d\rho + C\int_0^{+\infty}\left|\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^s\frac{\lambda_1^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_1(i8\rho^4)\rho^3\right|^2 d\rho \\ &\quad +C\int_0^{+\infty}\left|\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^s\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_2(i8\rho^4)\rho^3\right|^2 d\rho + C\int_0^{+\infty}\left|\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^s\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\widetilde{h}_2(i8\rho^4)\rho^3\right|^2 d\rho. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.18), the above inequality gives

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|v^+(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}\le C\int_0^{+\infty}\rho^{2s+6}\left|\widetilde{h}_1(i8\rho^4)\right|^2d\rho+C\int_0^{+\infty}\rho^{2s+4}\left|\widetilde{h}_2(i8\rho^4)\right|^2d\rho. \quad (3.24)$$

Setting $\mu=8\rho^4$ in (3.24) and recalling $\widetilde{h}_j(\tau)=\int_0^{+\infty}e^{-\tau t}h_j(t)dt$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|v^+(\cdot,t)\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)} &\le C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|\int_0^{+\infty}e^{-i\mu\tau}h_1(\tau)d\tau\right|^2d\mu\\ &\quad +C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}\left|\int_0^{+\infty}e^{-i\mu\tau}h_2(\tau)d\tau\right|^2d\mu\\ &\le C\left(\|h_1\|^2_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|h_2\|^2_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

which combining (3.19) yields that (3.21) holds for $s=0,-1,-2$.

Step 3. We claim that for $-2\le s\le 0$, it holds

$$\|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))}\le C\left(\|h_1\|_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|h_2\|_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right). \quad (3.25)$$

By standard interpolation theory, it suffices to show that (3.25) is true for $s=0,-1,-2$.

Setting $\mu=8\rho^4$ in (3.20), we have that for $k=0,1,2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x^k v^+(x,t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}e^{i\mu t}e^{\lambda_1^+x}\frac{\lambda_2^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)d\mu\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}e^{i\mu t}e^{\lambda_2^+x}\frac{\lambda_1^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)d\mu\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}e^{i\mu t}e^{\lambda_1^+x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)d\mu\\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty}e^{i\mu t}e^{\lambda_2^+x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)d\mu.\end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

By (3.26) and Plancherel's theorem, one gets that for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_x^k v^+(x,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} &\le C\int_0^{+\infty}\left|e^{\lambda_1^+x}\frac{\lambda_2^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2d\mu\\ &\quad +C\int_0^{+\infty}\left|e^{\lambda_2^+x}\frac{\lambda_1^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2d\mu\\ &\quad +C\int_0^{+\infty}\left|e^{\lambda_1^+x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2d\mu\\ &\quad +C\int_0^{+\infty}\left|e^{\lambda_2^+x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2d\mu,\end{aligned}$$

which gives that for $k=0,1,2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{+\infty}\|\partial_x^k v^+(x,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dx\\
&\le C\int_0^{+\infty}\left(\int_0^{+\infty}e^{2\lambda_1^+ x}dx\right)\left|\frac{\lambda_2^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\quad+C\int_0^{+\infty}\left(\int_0^{+\infty}e^{2\lambda_2^+ x}dx\right)\left|\frac{\lambda_1^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\quad+C\int_0^{+\infty}\left(\int_0^{+\infty}e^{2\lambda_1^+ x}dx\right)\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\quad+C\int_0^{+\infty}\left(\int_0^{+\infty}e^{2\lambda_2^+ x}dx\right)\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

Therefore, combining (3.18) and (3.27), recalling $\mu=8\rho^4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v^+\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))}=\sum_{k=0}^{s+2}\|\partial_x^k v^+\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}=\sum_{k=0}^{s+2}\int_0^{+\infty}\|\partial_x^k v^+(x,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dx\\
&\le C\sum_{k=0}^{s+2}\int_0^{+\infty}\mu^{2\cdot\frac{2k-1}{8}}\left|\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu+C\sum_{k=0}^{s+2}\int_0^{+\infty}\mu^{2\cdot\frac{2k-3}{8}}\left|\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\le C\left(\|h_1\|^2_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|h_2\|^2_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

From (3.19) and (3.28), we obtain the desired estimate (3.25) for $s=0,-1,-2$.

Step 4. We claim that for $-2\le s\le 0$, $\partial_x^k v\in C([0,+\infty);H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ $(k=0,1)$. Moreover, it holds

$$\sup_{x\in[0,+\infty)}\|\partial_x^k v(x,\cdot)\|_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\le C\left(\|h_1\|_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|h_2\|_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right).\tag{3.29}$$

It follows from (3.26) that for any x, $x_0\in[0,+\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\partial_x^k v^+(x,\cdot)-\partial_x^k v^+(x_0,\cdot)\right\|^2_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\\
&\le C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|\left(e^{\lambda_1^+ x}-e^{\lambda_1^+ x_0}\right)\frac{\lambda_2^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\quad+C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|\left(e^{\lambda_2^+ x}-e^{\lambda_2^+ x_0}\right)\frac{\lambda_1^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\quad+C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|\left(e^{\lambda_1^+ x}-e^{\lambda_1^+ x_0}\right)\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\quad+C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|\left(e^{\lambda_2^+ x}-e^{\lambda_2^+ x_0}\right)\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

From Fatou Lemma, the above inequality shows that

$$\lim_{x\to x_0}\left\|\partial_x^k v^+(x,\cdot)-\partial_x^k v^+(x_0,\cdot)\right\|^2_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}=0.$$

Thus, $\partial_x^k v \in C([0,+\infty); H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ $(k=0,1)$.

It follows from (3.26) that for any $x \in [0,+\infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_x^k v^+(x,\cdot)\|^2_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\\
&\le C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|e^{\lambda_1^+ x}\frac{\lambda_2^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\quad +C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|e^{\lambda_2^+ x}\frac{\lambda_1^+}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\quad +C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|e^{\lambda_1^+ x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_1^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu\\
&\quad +C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|e^{\lambda_2^+ x}\frac{1}{\lambda_2^+-\lambda_1^+}\left(\lambda_2^+\right)^k\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining (3.18), the above equality shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{x\in[0,+\infty)}\|\partial_x^k v^+(x,\cdot)\|^2_{H^{\frac{s-k}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\\
&\le C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}\left|\widetilde{h}_1(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu + C\int_0^{+\infty}(1+\mu^2)^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}\left|\widetilde{h}_2(i\mu)\right|^2 d\mu \qquad (3.30)\\
&\le C\left(\|h_1\|^2_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{3}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|h_2\|^2_{H^{\frac{s}{4}+\frac{1}{8}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.19) and (3.30), we obtain the desired estimate (3.29) for $-2 \le s \le 0$. □

Remark 3.1 *Let $W_{bdr}(t) : \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^+) \to C(\mathbb{R}_0^+; H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^+))$ be the solution map of equation (3.4). Then $W_{bdr}(t)\vec{h}$ can be represented explicitly by (3.18)-(3.20).*

Proof of estimate (3.8). Let $\bar{\phi}(x)$ be an continuous extension of $\phi(x)$ to the whole line $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|\bar{\phi}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} \le C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}.$$

From $\bar{\phi} \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\left((W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)\bar{\phi})(0,t),\ (W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)\bar{\phi})_x(0,t)\right) \in \mathcal{H}^s(0,T).$$

Recalling the definition of operator $W_{bdr}(t)$ in Remark 3.1, the solution v of equation (3.7) can be expressed by

$$v(x,t) = W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)\bar{\phi} - W_{bdr}(t)\left((W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)\bar{\phi})(0,t),\ (W_{\mathbb{R}}(t)\bar{\phi})_x(0,t)\right).$$

Thanks to Proposition 2.1 and estimate (3.5), we obtain estimate (3.8). □

Proof of estimate (3.11). By estimate (3.8), similar to Step 1 of the proof of Proposition 2.2, we can obtain estimate (3.11). □

3.3 Proof of estimates (3.6)

Proof of estimate (3.6). By (3.5), it suffices to prove that for $-2 < s < 0$, it holds

$$\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C\left(\|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(0,T)} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right). \quad (3.31)$$

It follows from (3.4) that $q := t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} q_t + q_{xxxx} + \delta q_{xxx} = (\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon)t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon-1}v, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0,T), \\ q(x,0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ q(0,t) = t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}h_1(t), \quad q_x(0,t) = t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}h_2(t), & t \in (0,T). \end{cases} \quad (3.32)$$

Let θ and ϑ be solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \theta_t + \theta_{xxxx} + \delta\theta_{xxx} = (\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon)t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon-1}v, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0,T), \\ \theta(x,0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \theta(0,t) = 0, \quad \theta_x(0,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T), \end{cases} \quad (3.33)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \vartheta_t + \vartheta_{xxxx} + \delta\vartheta_{xxx} = 0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0,T), \\ \vartheta(x,0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \vartheta(0,t) = t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}h_1(t), \quad \vartheta_x(0,t) = t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}h_2(t), & t \in (0,T). \end{cases} \quad (3.34)$$

It follows from (3.32)-(3.34) that

$$q = \theta + \vartheta. \quad (3.35)$$

From the results in Chapter 4, Section 15 of [19], we get that the solution of equation (3.4) satisfies $v \in H^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{s}{4}}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$ and

$$\|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{s}{4}}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C\|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(0,T)}. \quad (3.36)$$

For $-2 < s < 0$, Sobolev's embedding theorem shows that $v \in H^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{s}{4}}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)) \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{4}{|s|}}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$ and

$$\|v\|_{L^{\frac{4}{|s|}}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C\|v\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{s}{4}}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}. \quad (3.37)$$

Combining $t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon-1} \in L^{\frac{4}{4-|s|}}(0,T)$ and $v \in L^{\frac{4}{|s|}}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$, the Hölder's inequality shows that $(\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon)t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon-1}v \in L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$. That is

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\tfrac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon)t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon-1}v\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon-1}v\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \\ &\le C\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon-1}\|_{L^{\frac{4}{4-|s|}}(0,T)}\|v\|_{L^{\frac{4}{|s|}}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C\|v\|_{L^{\frac{4}{|s|}}(0,T;L^2(0,L))}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Since $(\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon)t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon-1}v \in L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$, applying (3.11) in Proposition 3.3 to equation (3.33), we yield

$$\|\theta\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|\theta\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \quad \le C\|(\tfrac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon)t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon-1}v\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}. \quad (3.39)$$

Thus, combining (3.36)-(3.39), one has

$$\|\theta\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}+\|\theta\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\leq C\|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(0,T)}. \tag{3.40}$$

From $t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}\vec{h}\in\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)$ and estimate (3.5), we get that the solution of equation (3.34) satisfies

$$\|\vartheta\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}+\|\vartheta\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\leq C\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}. \tag{3.41}$$

Therefore, (3.35), (3.40) and (3.41) deduce the desired estimate (3.31). □

4 Well-posedness for $s\geq 0$

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.1 for the case $s\geq 0$. First of all, we deduce the local well-posedness result of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (1.1). Then, combining global priori estimate, equation (1.1) is globally well-posed.

4.1 Local well-posedness for $s=0$

The main purpose of this subsection is to discuss the local well-posedness of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (1.1). By the result of the associated linear equation presented in Subsection 3.1, if we further obtain a suitable estimate for $u_{xx}+uu_x$ (see Lemma 4.1 below), then from the fixed point theory we can seek a fixed point solution.

Lemma 4.1 *There is a constant $C>0$ such that for any $u,\ v\in C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))\cap L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned}&\big\|u_{xx}+(uv)_x\big\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\leq C\big[T^{\frac12}\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}+\\&(T^{\frac12}+T^{\frac14})\big(\|u\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}+\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\big)\big(\|v\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}+\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\big)\big].\end{aligned}$$

Proof. On the one hand, by the Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_0^T\|u_{xx}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\leq\left(\int_0^T dt\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_0^T\|u_{xx}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac12}\leq CT^{\frac12}\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}.$$

On the other hand, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\|u(\cdot,t)v_x(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\leq\int_0^T\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|v_x(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\&\leq C\int_0^T\left(\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|u(\cdot,t)\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|u_x(\cdot,t)\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right)\|v_x(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt.\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

From the Hölder's inequality, (4.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^T\|u(\cdot,t)v_x(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\&\leq C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left(\int_0^T dt\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_0^T\|v_x(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac12}\\&\quad+C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u(\cdot,t)\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left(\int_0^T dt\right)^{\frac14}\left(\int_0^T\|u_x(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac14}\left(\int_0^T\|v_x(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac12}\\&\leq CT^{\frac12}\|u\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\\&\quad+CT^{\frac14}\|u\|^{\frac12}_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\|u\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\|v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

Proposition 4.1 *Let $T > 0$, $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in H^{\frac{3}{8}}(0,T) \times H^{\frac{1}{8}}(0,T)$, then there exists a $T_* \in (0,T]$ depending on $\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|h_1\|_{H^{\frac{3}{8}}(0,T)} + \|h_2\|_{H^{\frac{1}{8}}(0,T)}$, such that equation (1.1) admits a unique solution $u \in C([0,T_*]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap L^2(0,T_*; H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$ with $\partial_x^k u \in C([0,+\infty); H^{\frac{3}{8}-\frac{k}{4}}(0,T_*))$ $(k = 0, 1)$. Moreover, the corresponding solution map from the space of initial and boundary data to the solution space is continuous.*

Proof. The solution of equation (1.1) can be written in the form

$$u(t) = W_c(t)\phi + W_{bdr}(t)\vec{h} - \int_0^t W_c(t-\tau)\left(u_{xx} + uu_x\right)(\tau)d\tau$$

(recall the definitions of $W_{bdr}(t)$ and $W_c(t)$ in Remark 3.1 and Remark 2.1). Define

$$\Gamma(w) = W_c(t)\phi + W_{bdr}(t)\vec{h} - \int_0^t W_c(t-\tau)\left(w_{xx} + ww_x\right)(\tau)d\tau. \tag{4.2}$$

By Proposition 3.1 - 3.3 and Lemma 4.1, for any $w \in X_{0,T_*}(d) := \left\{w \in X_{0,T_*} \;\middle|\; \|w\|_{X_{0,T_*}} \le d\right\}$,

$$\|\Gamma(w)\|_{X_{0,T_*}} \le C_1\left(\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right) + C_2\left[T_*^{\frac{1}{2}}\|w\|_{X_{0,T_*}} + (T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} + T_*^{\frac{1}{4}})\|w\|_{X_{0,T_*}}^2\right]. \tag{4.3}$$

Set

$$d = 2C_1\left(\|\phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right) \tag{4.4}$$

and Choose T_* such that

$$\begin{cases} C_2 T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{1}{4}, \\ C_2(T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} + T_*^{\frac{1}{4}})d \le \frac{1}{4}. \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

By (4.3)-(4.5), we have that for any $w \in X_{0,T_*}(d)$,

$$\|\Gamma(w)\|_{X_{0,T_*}} \le d,$$

which means that Γ maps $X_{0,T_*}(d)$ into $X_{0,T_*}(d)$.

Furthermore, Γ is a contraction map of $X_{0,T_*}(d)$. In fact, from (4.2), for any w_1, $w_2 \in X_{0,T_*}(d)$,

$$\Gamma(w_1) - \Gamma(w_2) = -\int_0^t W_c(t-\tau)\Big[(w_1 - w_2)_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}\left[(w_1 + w_2)(w_1 - w_2)\right]_x\Big](\tau)d\tau. \tag{4.6}$$

By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 4.1, (4.6) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Gamma(w_1) - \Gamma(w_2)\|_{X_{0,T_*}} \\ &\le C_2\left[T_*^{\frac{1}{2}}\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X_{0,T_*}} + \frac{1}{2}(T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} + T_*^{\frac{1}{4}})\|w_1 + w_2\|_{X_{0,T_*}}\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X_{0,T_*}}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Then (4.5) and (4.7) imply that for any $w \in X_{0,T_*}(d)$,

$$\|\Gamma(w_1) - \Gamma(w_2)\|_{X_{0,T_*}} \le \frac{1}{2}\|w_1 - w_2\|_{X_{0,T_*}}.$$

Since Γ is a contraction mapping from $X_{0,T_*}(d)$ to $X_{0,T_*}(d)$, the fixed point theorem shows that Γ exists a fixed point u. Then equation (1.1) admits a unique solution $u = \Gamma(u)$ in $X_{0,T_*}(d)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

4.2 Global well-posedness for $s \geq 0$

This subsection is addressed to proving Theorem 1.1 for $s \geq 0$. We divide the proof into three steps. In Step 1 and Step 2, Theorem 1.1 is shown to be true for $s = 0$ and $s = 4$, respectively. Then by nonlinear interpolation theory, we prove it is true for any $s \in (0, 4)$ in Step 3. If $s \in (4, 8)$, then $s - 4 \in (0, 4)$. Thus, by applying the result of Step 3, Theorem 1.1 holds for any $s \in (4, 8)$. The same method can be used for $s \geq 8$.

To state our results clearly and concisely, we introduce the following notations.

$$Z_{s,T} = H^s(\mathbb{R}^+) \times \mathcal{H}^s(0,T), \qquad \|(\phi, \vec{h})\|_{Z_{s,T}} = \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(0,T)}. \tag{4.8}$$

Step 1. By the local well-posedness results, to prove Theorem 1.1 for the case $s = 0$, we only need the following global priori estimate (4.9) for smooth solutions of equation (1.1). Then we can patch local solutions together to a global solution.

For given $T > 0$, we claim that there exists a continuous nondecreasing function $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for any smooth solution u of equation (1.1), it hold

$$\|u\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \leq \gamma\left(\|(\phi, \vec{h})\|_{Z_{0,T}}\right). \tag{4.9}$$

In fact, let u be a smooth solution of equation (1.1), let y and z solve

$$\begin{cases} y_t + y_{xxxx} + \delta y_{xxx} = 0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ y(x,0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ y(0,t) = h_1(t), \quad y_x(0,t) = h_2(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} z_t + z_{xxxx} + \delta z_{xxx} + z_{xx} + z z_x = -(zy)_x - y y_x - y_{xx}, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ z(x,0) = \phi(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ z(0,t) = 0, \quad z_x(0,t) = 0, & t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

Then it is easy to check that $u = y + z$.

Multiplying both side of the first equation in (4.11) by z and integrating by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|z_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\ &= \|z_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \int_0^{+\infty} z_x y z dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{+\infty} z_x y^2 dx - \int_0^{+\infty} z_{xx} y dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Now we estimate the four terms on the right hand side of (4.12). The Gagliardo - Nirenberg's inequality and the Young's inequality imply that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} &\leq C_1\left(\|z_{xx}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|z\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|z\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right)^2 \\ &\leq 2C_1\|z_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|z\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + 2C_1\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2\|z_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \left(\tfrac{C_1^2}{\varepsilon^2} + 2C_1\right)\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

From the Gagliardo - Nirenberg's inequality, the Hölder's inequality, the Young's inequality and (4.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{+\infty} z_x y z dx \le \|z_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|yz\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le \|z_x\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|yz\|^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
&\le C_2\left(\|z_{xx}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|z_x\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|z_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right)^2 + \|y\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
&\le 2C_2\|z_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|z_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + 2C_2\|z_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|y\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
&\le \varepsilon\|z_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \left(\tfrac{C_2^2}{\varepsilon} + 2C_2\right)\|z_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|y\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
&\le \left(\varepsilon + \varepsilon C_2^2 + 2\varepsilon^2 C_2\right)\|z_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \left(\tfrac{C_1^2}{\varepsilon^2} + 2C_1\right)\left(\tfrac{C_2^2}{\varepsilon} + 2C_2\right)\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
&\quad + \|y\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Similar to (4.14), one yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{+\infty} z_x y^2 dx \le \|z_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|y\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le \|z_x\|^2_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|y\|^4_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
&\le \left(\varepsilon + \varepsilon C_2^2 + 2\varepsilon^2 C_2\right)\|z_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \left(\tfrac{C_1^2}{\varepsilon^2} + 2C_1\right)\left(\tfrac{C_2^2}{\varepsilon} + 2C_2\right)\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
&\quad + \|y\|^4_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Again, by the Young's inequality, it holds

$$-\int_0^{+\infty} z_{xx} y dx \le \|z_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|y\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le \varepsilon\|z_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\|y\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}. \tag{4.16}$$

Choosing ε small enough, from (4.12) - (4.16), we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|z_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le \left(C + \|y\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right)\|z\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|y\|^4_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + C\|y\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}. \tag{4.17}$$

Proposition 3.1 implies that

$$\|y\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|y\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C\|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}. \tag{4.18}$$

From (4.17) and (4.18), for any $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\|z(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|z_{xx}(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
&\le C\left(1 + \|\vec{h}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right)\|z(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + C\left(\|\vec{h}\|^4_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)} + \|\vec{h}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Applying the Gronwall's inequality to (4.19), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|z(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
&\le e^{CT\left(1+\|\vec{h}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right)}\left[\|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + CT\left(\|\vec{h}\|^4_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)} + \|\vec{h}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Integrating (4.19) on $[0,T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|z_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \\
&\le \|\phi\|^2_{L^2(0,L)} + C\left(1 + \|\vec{h}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right)\int_0^T \|z(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt + CT\left(\|\vec{h}\|^4_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)} + \|\vec{h}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right) \\
&\le \|\phi\|^2_{L^2(0,L)} + CT\left(1 + \|\vec{h}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right)\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|z(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + CT\left(\|\vec{h}\|^4_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)} + \|\vec{h}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which combining (4.20) gives that there exists a continuous nondecreasing function $\gamma_* : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\|z\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le \gamma_* \left(\|(\phi, \vec{h})\|_{Z_{0,T}} \right). \tag{4.21}$$

From (4.18), (4.20) and (4.21) and $u = y + z$, it easy to see that (4.9) holds.

Step 2. We now prove that Theorem 1.1 is true for $s = 4$. By the result of Step 1 and $(\phi, \vec{h}) \in Z_{4,T} \subset Z_{0,T}$, the solution of equation (1.1) satisfies (recall the definition of $X_{0,T}$ in (3.2))

$$\|u\|_{X_{0,T}} \le C \|(\phi, \vec{h})\|_{Z_{0,T}}. \tag{4.22}$$

Furthermore, it is easy to check that $y = u_t$ solves

$$\begin{cases} y_t + y_{xxxx} + \delta y_{xxx} = -y_{xx} - (uy)_x, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ y(x,0) = \psi(x) = -\phi''''(x) - \delta\phi'''(x) - \phi''(x) - \phi(x)\phi'(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ y(0,t) = h_1'(t), \quad y_x(0,t) = h_2'(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \end{cases} \tag{4.23}$$

By Proposition 3.1 - 3.3 and Lemma 4.1, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $T_* \in (0, T]$,

$$\|y\|_{X_{0,T_*}} \le C\|(\psi, \vec{h}')\|_{Z_{0,T}} + C \left[T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \|y\|_{X_{0,T_*}} + (T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} + T_*^{\frac{1}{4}}) \|u\|_{X_{0,T}} \|y\|_{X_{0,T_*}} \right]. \tag{4.24}$$

Choose T_* such that

$$\begin{cases} C T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{1}{4}, \\ C(T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} + T_*^{\frac{1}{4}}) \|u\|_{X_{0,T}} \le \frac{1}{4}. \end{cases} \tag{4.25}$$

Combining (4.24) and (4.25), one has

$$\|y\|_{X_{0,T_*}} \le 2C \|(\psi, \vec{h}')\|_{Z_{0,T}}. \tag{4.26}$$

By (4.25), T_* only depends on $\|u\|_{X_{0,T}}$. Furthermore, combining (4.22), we see that T_* only depends on $\|(\phi, \vec{h})\|_{Z_{0,T}}$. Recalling (4.23), by a standard density argument, (4.26) shows that there exists a continuous nondecreasing function $C_0 : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\|y\|_{X_{0,T}} \le C_0 \left(\|(\phi, \vec{h})\|_{Z_{0,T}} \right) \|(\phi, \vec{h})\|_{Z_{4,T}}.$$

Then Theorem 1.1 holds for $s = 4$ since $y = -u_{xxxx} - \delta u_{xxx} - u_{xx} - u u_x$.

Step 3. Since Theorem 1.1 hold for $s = 0$ and $s = 4$, we now use nonlinear interpolation theory (c.f. Theorem 1 in [4] or Theorem 2 in [27]) to prove it for any $s \in (0, 4)$. Set

$$A_1 = Z_{0,T}, \quad A_0 = Z_{4,T}, \quad B_1 = C([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)), \quad B_0 = C([0,T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^+)).$$

Then for given $s \in (0, 4)$,

$$[A_0, A_1]_{\frac{s}{4},2} = Z_{s,T}, \qquad [B_0, B_1]_{\frac{s}{4},2} = C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)). \tag{4.27}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the solution map of equation (1.1), i.e. $u = \mathcal{T}(\phi, \vec{h})$. By the result proved in Step 2, we see that $\mathcal{T} : Z_{4,T} \to C([0,T]; H^4(\mathbb{R}^+))$ and for any $(\phi, \vec{h}) \in Z_{4,T}$,

$$\|u\|_{C([0,T];H^4(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C_1 \left(\|(\phi, \vec{h})\|_{Z_{0,T}} \right) \|(\phi, \vec{h})\|_{Z_{4,T}}, \tag{4.28}$$

where $C_1 : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous nondecreasing function.

Denote $u_1 = \mathcal{T}(\phi_1, \vec{h}_1)$, $u_2 = \mathcal{T}(\phi_2, \vec{h}_2)$, $z = u_1 - u_2$ and $w = \frac{1}{2}(u_1 + u_2)$. Then z solves

$$\begin{cases} z_t + z_{xxxx} + \delta z_{xxx} + z_{xx} = -(wz)_x, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ z(x,0) = \phi_1(x) - \phi_2(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ (z(0,t),\ z_x(0,t)) = \vec{h}_1(t) - \vec{h}_2(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{cases} \tag{4.29}$$

By Proposition 3.1 - 3.3 and Lemma 4.1, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $T_* \in (0, T]$,

$$\|z\|_{X_{0,T^*}} \le C\|(\phi_1, \vec{h}_1) - (\phi_2, \vec{h}_2)\|_{Z_{0,T}} + C\left[T_*^{\frac{1}{2}}\|z\|_{X_{0,T_*}} + (T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} + T_*^{\frac{1}{4}})\|w\|_{X_{0,T}}\|z\|_{X_{0,T_*}}\right].$$

Choose T_* such that

$$\begin{cases} CT_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \frac{1}{4}, \\ C(T_*^{\frac{1}{2}} + T_*^{\frac{1}{4}})\|w\|_{X_{0,T}} \le \frac{1}{4}. \end{cases} \tag{4.30}$$

Therefore,

$$\|z\|_{X_{0,T^*}} \le 2C\|(\phi_1, \vec{h}_1) - (\phi_2, \vec{h}_2)\|_{Z_{0,T}}. \tag{4.31}$$

By (4.30), we know that T_* only depends on $\|w\|_{X_{0,T}}$. Since $w = \frac{1}{2}(u_1 + u_2)$ and Theorem 1.1 is true for $s = 0$, we see that T^* only depends on $\|(\phi_1, \vec{h}_1)\|_{Z_{0,T}} + \|(\phi_2, \vec{h}_2)\|_{Z_{0,T}}$. Recalling (4.29), (4.31) implies that there exists a continuous nondecreasing function $C_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\|z\|_{Y_{0,T}} \le C_2\left(\|(\phi_1, \vec{h}_1)\|_{Z_{0,T}} + \|(\phi_2, \vec{h}_2)\|_{Z_{0,T}}\right)\|(\phi_1, \vec{h}_1) - (\phi_2, \vec{h}_2)\|_{Z_{0,T}}.$$

Hence, we see that $\mathcal{T} : Z_{0,T} \to C([0,T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$ and for any $(\phi_1, \vec{h}_1)$, $(\phi_2, \vec{h}_2) \in Z_{0,T}$,

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|_{C([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C_2\left(\|(\phi_1, \vec{h}_1)\|_{Z_{0,T}} + \|(\phi_2, \vec{h}_2)\|_{Z_{0,T}}\right)\|(\phi_1, \vec{h}_1) - (\phi_2, \vec{h}_2)\|_{Z_{0,T}}. \tag{4.32}$$

By (4.27), (4.28), (4.32), the nonlinear interpolation theory shows that Theorem 1.1 is true for $s \in (0, 4)$. □

5 Well-posedness for $-2 < s < 0$

This section is devoted to proving Theorem 1.1 for the case $-2 < s < 0$. First of all, we deduce a suitable estimate for $u_{xx} + uu_x$ (see Lemma 5.1 below). Then we prove the local well-posedness of Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (1.1) (see Proposition 5.1). Finally, we show that equation (1.1) admits a unique global solution.

Lemma 5.1 *Let $T > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $-2 + 4\varepsilon < s < 0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any u, $v \in X_{s,T}^{\varepsilon}$ (recall the definition of $X_{s,T}^{\varepsilon}$ in (3.3)), it holds*

$$\|u_{xx}\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u_{xx}\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le CT^{\alpha(s,\varepsilon)}\|u\|_{X_{s,T}^{\varepsilon}} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\|uv_x\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}uv_x\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le CT^{\alpha(s,\varepsilon)}\|u\|_{X_{s,T}^{\varepsilon}}\|v\|_{X_{s,T}^{\varepsilon}}, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\alpha(s,\varepsilon) : (-2, 0) \times (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ is a continuous function.

Proof. We divide the proof into three steps. In Step 1, estimate (5.1) is shown to be true. In Step 2, estimate $\|uv_x\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le CT^{\alpha(s,\varepsilon)}\|u\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}}\|v\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}}$ is proven for cases $-1 \le s < 0$, $-\frac{3}{2} < s < -1$, $s = -\frac{3}{2}$ and $-2 + 4\varepsilon < s < -\frac{3}{2}$, respectively. Finally, we prove $\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}uv_x\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le CT^{\alpha(s,\varepsilon)}\|u\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}}\|v\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}}$ in Step 3.

Step 1. We claim that (5.1) holds. Indeed, by (3.3) and the Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt \le \left(\int_0^T dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le T^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}}.$$

From the Hölder's inequality and the fact that the embedding $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is continuous for $s < 0$, we have that for $\frac{|s|}{2} + 2\varepsilon < 1$, i.e. $-2 + 4\varepsilon < s < 0$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T \|u_{xx}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt &\le \left(\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u_{xx}\|^2_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le CT^{\frac{s}{4}-\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le CT^{\frac{s}{4}-\varepsilon+\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}}.\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Now we prove that for $-2 + 4\varepsilon < s < 0$, it holds

$$\|uv_x\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le CT^{\alpha(s,\varepsilon)}\|u\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}}\|v\|_{X^\varepsilon_{s,T}}. \tag{5.3}$$

We distinguish four cases $-1 \le s < 0$, $-\frac{3}{2} < s < -1$, $s = -\frac{3}{2}$ and $-2 + 4\varepsilon < s < -\frac{3}{2}$.

Case 1. $-1 \le s < 0$. Noting the embedding $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is continuous for $s < 0$, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and the Hölder's inequality, we get for any u, $v \in X^\varepsilon_{s,T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|uv_x\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C\int_0^T \|uv_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\,dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left(\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_x\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_{xx}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right)dt\\
&= C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{3|s|}{8}-\frac{3\varepsilon}{2}}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\quad + C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{7|s|}{16}-\frac{7\varepsilon}{4}}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_{xx}\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\Big[\left(\int_0^T t^{-\frac{3|s|}{4}-3\varepsilon}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad + \left(\int_0^T t^{-\frac{7|s|}{8}-\frac{7\varepsilon}{2}}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Again, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and the Hölder's inequality, one yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt = \int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{4}-\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{4}-\varepsilon}\left(\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_{xx}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right)dt\\
&\le C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{4}-\varepsilon}dt\\
&\quad + C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left(\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}dt\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac12}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

It follows from

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{3|s|}{4}+3\varepsilon<1, \quad \frac{7|s|}{8}+\frac{7\varepsilon}{2}<1,\\
\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon<1, \quad \frac{|s|}{2}+2\varepsilon<1,
\end{cases}$$

that $-\frac{8}{7}+4\varepsilon<s<0$. Hence, by (3.3), (5.4) and (5.5), we show that estimate (5.3) holds for $-1\le s<0$ when $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough.

Case 2. $-\frac32<s<-1$. The operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}: H_0^{|s|}(\mathbb{R}^+)\to H^{|s|-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is linear bounded for $-\frac32<s<-1$. Then by transposition, we have

$$\int_0^T \|(uv)_x\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}\,dt \le C\int_0^T \|uv\|_{H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\,dt.\tag{5.6}$$

Noting the embedding $L^{\frac{2}{2|s|-1}}(\mathbb{R}^+)\subset H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is continuous for $-\frac32<s<-1$, from (5.6), by the Hölder's inequality and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality, we get for any $u,\,v\in X^\varepsilon_{s,T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(uv)_x\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))}\le C\int_0^T \|uv\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2|s|-1}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&=\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|(t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u)(t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v)\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2|s|-1}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^{\frac{1}{|s|-1}}(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left(\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|^{\frac{|s|}{2}+\frac14}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_{xx}\|^{-\frac{|s|}{2}+\frac34}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right)dt\\
&\le C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}dt\\
&\quad + \sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|^{\frac{|s|}{2}+\frac14}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left(\int_0^T t^{-\frac{4|s|+16\varepsilon}{2|s|+5}}dt\right)^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\frac58}\left(\int_0^T \|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_{xx}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{-\frac{|s|}{4}+\frac38}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

When $-\frac32<s<-1$ and $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough, it holds

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{|s|}{2}+2\varepsilon<1,\\
\frac{4|s|+16\varepsilon}{2|s|+5}<1.
\end{cases}$$

Hence, combining (3.3), one concludes estimate (5.3) for $-\frac{3}{2} < s < -1$.

Case 3. $s = -\frac{3}{2}$. Noting the embedding $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is continuous, by the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and the Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(uv)_x\|_{L^1(0,T;H^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C\int_0^T \|(uv)_x\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\,dt\\
&= C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|(t^{\frac{|s|}{2}+2\varepsilon}uv)_x\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt \le C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{2}+2\varepsilon}uv\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left(\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v_x\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\right)dt\\
&= C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\quad +C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{7|s|}{16}-\frac{7\varepsilon}{4}}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}dt\\
&\quad +\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left(\int_0^T t^{-\frac{7|s|}{12}-\frac{7\varepsilon}{3}}dt\right)^{\frac34}\left(\int_0^T\|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac14}\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.7}
$$

When $s=-\frac{3}{2}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough, it holds

$$
\begin{cases}
\frac{|s|}{2}+2\varepsilon<1, \quad \frac{7|s|}{12}+\frac{7\varepsilon}{3}<1,\\
\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon<1.
\end{cases}
$$

Hence, by (3.3), (5.5) and (5.7), one has that estimate (5.3) holds for $s=-\frac{3}{2}$.

Case 4. $-2+4\varepsilon < s < -\frac{3}{2}$. The operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}: H_0^{|s|}(\mathbb{R}^+) \to H_0^{|s|-1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is linear bounded for $-2+4\varepsilon < s < -\frac{3}{2}$. Then by transposition, we have

$$
\int_0^T \|(uv)_x\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}\,dt \le C\int_0^T \|uv\|_{H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^+)}\,dt. \tag{5.8}
$$

Noting the embedding $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)\subset H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ is continuous, from (5.8), by the Hölder's inequality and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality, we get for any $u,\,v\in X^\varepsilon_{s,T}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|(uv)_x\|_{L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C\int_0^T \|uv\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&= C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|(t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u)(t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\le C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}dt.
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $\frac{|s|}{2}+2\varepsilon<1$ that $-2+4\varepsilon<s$. Hence, estimate (5.3) holds for $-2+4\varepsilon<s<-\frac{3}{2}$.

Step 3. Claim for any u, $v\in X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T}$,

$$\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}uv_x\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}\leq CT^{\alpha(s,\varepsilon)}\|u\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T}}\|v\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T}}. \tag{5.9}$$

In fact, from the Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality and the Hölder's inequality, we obtain that for any u, $v\in X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}uv_x\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))}=\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{8}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\|(t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u)(t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v)_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\leq\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{8}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\leq C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{8}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\quad+C\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{8}-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u_x\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\\
&\leq C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\left(\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{4}-\varepsilon}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_0^T\|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad+C\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\\
&\quad\left(\int_0^T t^{-\frac{|s|}{2}-2\varepsilon}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^T\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(\int_0^T\|t^{\frac{|s|}{8}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}v_x\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which combining (3.3) and (5.5) implies estimate (5.9). This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1. □

Proposition 5.1 *Let $0<T\leq 1$, $\varepsilon>0$, $-2+4\varepsilon<s<0$, $\phi\in H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $\vec{h}\in\mathcal{H}^s(0,T)$ and $t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}\vec{h}\in\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)$. Then there exists a $T_*\in(0,T]$ depending on $\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(0,T)}+\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}$, such that equation (1.1) admits a unique solution $u\in X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}$. Moreover, the corresponding solution map from the space of initial and boundary data to the solution space is continuous.*

Proof. The solution of system (1.1) can be written in the form

$$u(t)=W_c(t)\phi+W_{bdr}(t)\vec{h}-\int_0^t W_c(t-\tau)\left(u_{xx}+uu_x\right)(\tau)d\tau.$$

For $w\in X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}(d):=\left\{w\in X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}\;\middle|\;\|w\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}}\leq d\right\}$, define

$$\Gamma(w)=W_c(t)\phi+W_{bdr}(t)\vec{h}-\int_0^t W_c(t-\tau)\left(w_{xx}+ww_x\right)(\tau)d\tau. \tag{5.10}$$

Then by Proposition 3.1 - Proposition 3.3, Lemma 5.1 and (5.10), we obtain that for any w, w_1, $w_2\in X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}(d)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Gamma(w)\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}}\\
&\leq C_1\left(\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^+)}+\|\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^s(0,T)}+\|t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}\vec{h}\|_{\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)}\right)+C_2T_*^{\alpha(s,\varepsilon)}\left(\|w\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}}+\|w\|^2_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\Gamma(w_1)-\Gamma(w_2)\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}}$$
$$\leq C_2\left(T_*^{\alpha(s,\varepsilon)}\|w_1-w_2\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}}+T_*^{\alpha(s,\varepsilon)}\|w_1+w_2\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}}\|w_1-w_2\|_{X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}}\right).$$

Similar to the proof of Proposition 4.1, equation (1.1) admits a unique solution $u=\Gamma(u)$ in $X^{\varepsilon}_{s,T_*}(d)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $-2<s<0$. Proposition 5.1 shows that there exists a $T_*\in(0,1]$, such that equation (1.1) has a local solution $u\in C([0,T_*];H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))$ with $t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}u\in C([0,T_*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))$. Then $u(x,T_*)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$. From $t^{\frac{|s|}{4}+\varepsilon}\vec{h}\in\mathcal{H}^0(0,T)$, we see that $\vec{h}\in\mathcal{H}^0(T_*,T)$. By the result of Theorem 1.1 for $s=0$, equation (1.1) with initial datum $u(x,T_*)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and boundary conditions $\vec{h}\in\mathcal{H}^0(T_*,T)$ admits a unique solution $u\in C([T_*,T];H^s(\mathbb{R}^+))$. □

References

[1] M. T. Aimar, *Etude numérique d'une équation dévolution non linéaire dérivant l'instabilité thermodiffusive d'un front de flamme,* Thèse 3 éme cycle Université de Provence, 1982.

[2] A. Armaou and P. D. Christofides, *Feedback control of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, Phys. D,* **137** (2000), 49–61.

[3] H. A. Biagioni and T. Gramchev, *Multidimensional Kuramoto-Sivashinsky type equations: singular initial data and analytic regularity, Fifth Workshop on Partial Differential Equations (Rio de Janeiro, 1997). Mat. Contemp.,* **15** (1998), 21–42.

[4] J. L. Bona and L. R. Scott, *Solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation in fractional order Sobolev spaces, Duke Math. J.,* **43** (1976), 87–99.

[5] J. L. Bona, S. Sun and B.-Y. Zhang, *A non-homogeneous boundary-value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in a quarter plane, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **354** (2002), 427–490.

[6] J. L. Bona, S. Sun and B.-Y. Zhang, *A nonhomogeneous boundary-value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation posed on a finite domain, Comm. Partial Differential Equations,* **28** (2003), 1391–1436.

[7] J. L. Bona, S. Sun and B.-Y. Zhang, *A non-homogeneous boundary-value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation posed on a finite domain II, J. Differential Equations,* **247** (2009), 2558–2596.

[8] E. Cerpa, *Null controllability and stabilization of the linear Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.,* **9** (2010), 91–102.

[9] E. Cerpa and A. Mercado, *Local exact controllability to the trajectories of the 1-D Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, J. Differential Equations,* **250** (2011), 2024–2044.

[10] P. Collet, J.-P. Eckmann, H. Epstein and J. Stubbe, *A global attracting set for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, Comm. Math. Phys.,* **152** (1993), 203–214.

[11] A. T. Cousin and N. A. Larkin, *Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation in domains with moving boundaries, Port. Math.,* **59** (2002), 335–349.

[12] A. Demirkaya, *The existence of a global attractor for a Kuramoto-Sivashinsky type equation in 2D, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, (2009), 198–207.

[13] L. Giacomelli and F. Otto, *New bounds for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, Commun. Pure Appl. Math.,* **58** (2005), 297–318.

[14] R. Glowinski, J. L. Lions and J. He, *Exact and Approximate Controllability for Distributed Parameter Systems: A Numerical Approach,* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 117, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.

[15] Ju. S. Il'yashenko, *Global Analysis of the Phase Portrait for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation, J. Dynam. Differential Equations,* **4** (1992), 585–615.

[16] E. I. Kaikina, *Subcritical Kuramoto-Sivashinsk-type equation on a half-line, J. Differential Equations,* **220** (2006), 279–321.

[17] Y. Kuramoto, *On the formation of dissipative structures in reaction-diffusion systems, Progr. Theoret. Phys.,* **54** (1975), 687–699.

[18] Y. Kuramoto, *Instability and turbulence of wavefronts in reaction-diffusion systems, Progr. Theoret. Phys.,* **63** (1980), 1885–1903.

[19] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications,* vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.

[20] B. Nicolaenko and B. Scheurer, *Remarks on the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, Phys. D,* **12** (1984), 391–395.

[21] B. Nicolaenko, B. Scheurer and R. Temam, *Some global dynamical properties of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations: nonlinear stability and attractors, Phys. D,* **16** (1985), 155–183.

[22] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations,* Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[23] D. Pilod, *Sharp well-posedness results for the Kuramoto-Velarde equation, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.,* **7** (2008), 867–881.

[24] G. I. Sivashinsky, *Nonlinear analysis of hydrodynamic instability in laminar flames–I. Derivation of basic equations, Acta Astronaut.,* **4** (1977), 1177–1206.

[25] G. I. Sivashinsky, *On flame propagation under conditions of stoichiometry, SIAM J. Appl. Math.,* **39** (1980), 67–82.

[26] E. Tadmor, *The well-posedness of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, SIAM J. Math. Anal.,* **17** (1986), 884–893.

[27] L. Tartar, *Interpolation non linéaire et régularité, J. Funct. Anal.,* **9** (1972), 469–489.